## REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Office Action dated May 20, 2005. By said Action, claim 27 was rejected as anticipated by Bjorkman et al. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over McKenna et al in view of Bjorkman et al. Claims 12, 13 and 28 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as obvious over Bjorkman et al in view of McKenna et al.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 8-10 and 14-18 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of any intervening claims. Claims 19-26 and 29-36 were withdrawn from consideration, being directed to claims of a non-elected Group.

While traversing the rejections made in said Office Action, Applicant, in the interest of obtaining an early allowance, has canceled all the rejected and withdrawn claims. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the recitations of objected to, now canceled, claim 2. Claims 3-11, dependent therefrom, are also allowable with allowable independent claim 1. Claim 12 has been amended to include the recitations of objected to claim 14, now canceled, putting it, along with dependent claim 13, in allowable condition. Claims 15, 16 and 18 have been rewritten in independent form, putting them in allowable condition, as well as claim 17, dependent from claim 16.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully urged that claims 1, 3-13 and 15-18 are in allowable condition, and their allowanc, along with the issuance of the application is respectfully requested

Respectfully submitted,

Hartin O. Haynihan Martin Moynihan

Registration No. 40,338

Date: August 21, 2005